

## THE REASONS WHY M&M FAILED TO PROVE THE EXISTENCE OF 'ETHER'

- 1- They used the light as a reference. The light, even though it does have a visible spectrum, its vibration patterns and speed are too high.
- 2- The “arms” of the interferometer used by M&M were less than 2 meters long (coming and going), which would not allow anyone to see any alterations in the behavior of the light beam produced by an incandescent light source with the naked eye. Light travels through space hundreds of light years without suffering any significant interference... How could anyone perceive at such short distance an interference that would be visible to human eye?
- 3- Not only were they short, but both the apparatus arms in the experiment were positioned horizontally only, and even though they were turned up to 180°, they were not aligned with the direction by the `Ethereal flow`, as the spatial flow goes towards the center of the earth and it returns from it. One of the arms should've been in the vertical position (this is valid for any experiment used to measure the gravitational effects).
- 4- Due to Ether's subtle consistency, mainly in its pure state (neutral Space), it does not alter any kind of energy.
- 5- Because the light is also a type of vibration of `Ether`, like any other form of energy, was vastly studied and demonstrated in volumes IV, V and VI of the CST's theory development.

## WHY HAS THE EXISTENCE OF ETHER NOT BEEN PROVEN YET?

- \* As incredible as it may seem, the same mistakes made by Michelson and Morley are still being made. The arms are still being used only in the horizontal position, and the distances to be run by a light are still too short (to the largest of these apparatus are only 4km long)
- \* The use of laser, which is much more stable than natural light.
- \* Because the thermodynamic phenomena involving terrestrial tides and their volume increases and decreases have not been deciphered yet.
- \* Respecting Albert Einstein's formulation which replaced `Ether` with `curved-space`, but in truth, both refer to the same physical entity: `Space` (capital S).

Now, if Space (as it has been conceived by the CST) is not recognized as a measurable physical quantity which consolidates itself with movement, that is, it polarizes, it acquires inertia, mass and it occupies `space-volume`, our efforts will be all but fruitless.

Forgive us... But it is the truth!

***Next, the introduction to the 4<sup>o</sup> step - the new evidence that the 'Ether' ...*** [\[Click\]](#)